

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/038,649	01/08/2002	Seung Hee Han	217966US2X	9152		
22850 75	590 04/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPI 1940 DUKE ST	VAK, MCCLELLAND,	MAYEKAR, KISHOR				
ALEXANDRIA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1753			
. .			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	‡		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	\sim				
		10/038,649		HAN ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Kishor Maye		1753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
		VIC SET TO E	VDIDE 2 MONTH/9	S) EPOM					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, h y within the statutory vill apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be tim- minimum of thirty (30) days sire SIX (6) MONTHS from t on to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ja</u>	anuary 2004.			1				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	The second methods are second methods proposition as to the morito is								
٠,ـــ	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
- :	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers	•							
		ar							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)[_]	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be need in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.00(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
·									
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			(d) = = (f)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been re	eceived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio			ed in this Nationa	Stage				
	application from the International Bureau			a					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified	copies not receive	:O.					
Attachmen	.t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	E)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P		'O-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6)	-	atom ripphoduom (1-1	- ·,				

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and § 103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1753

- Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by 4. DUNDER's invention is directed to an electronic control DUNDER (5,443,800). circuit for controlling the ozone production of an ozone generator. DUNDER discloses that an apparatus for generating ozone comprises the recited means for providing oxygen; the recited means for generating ozone by applying electrical discharge to the oxygen provided by the means for providing oxygen; the recited means for providing a first pulse signal wherein the first pulse signal is used for the electrical discharge and has an adjusted ON/OFF time ratio; and the recited means for transforming the first pulse from the fist pulse provision means into a predetermined signal level (col. 1, lines 49-58; col. 4, lines 4-16. lines 43-48 and lines 53-58; col. 5, lines 4-21; col. 5, line 57 through col. 6, line 29; and Figs. 3-4). DUNDER further discloses in col. 5, lines 21-28 the amount of ozone generated during the first time period is proportional to the number of pulses in the train of pulses passed by the pulse train gate means during the first time period to thereby provide accurate control of the amount of ozone being generated.
- 5. Claims 3, 4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DUNDER '800. The differences between DUNDER as applied

Art Unit: 1753

above and the instant claims are the limitations recited in each of the instant claims.

As to the subject matter of claims 3 and 4, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the reference's teachings because the manner in which an apparatus operates is not germane to the issue of patentability of the apparatus itself. Ex parte Wikdahl 10 USPQ 2d 1546 (BPAI 1989); Ex parte McCullough 7 USPQ 2d 1889 (BPAI 1988); In re Finterswalder 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967).

As to the subject matter of claim 8, DUNDER discloses the limitation in col. 6, lines 45-54.

As to the subject matter of claim 9, the selection of any of known equivalent transforming means would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

6. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DUNDER '800 in view of SUZUKI et al. (5,417,936), a reference cited in a previous Office action. The differences between DUNDER as applied above and the instant claims are the provisions of a flat shaped insulating material on the

Art Unit: 1753

electrodes and a cooling means as recited in claim 5. SUZUKI shows in an ozone generator the above provisions are known (Fig. 5) and his ozone generator (Fig.1). The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified DUNDER's teachings as shown by SUZUKI because the selection of any of known equivalent ozone generators would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753